1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division BENJAMIN T. KENNEDY (CASBN 241350) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5059 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: benjamin.t.kennedy@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America					
9						
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11 12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION					
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION					
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 08-00183 JF Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]					
16 17 18 19	v. V. ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM MARCH 25, 2008 TO APRIL 23, 2008 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) Defendant. Defendant.					
202122232425262728	The parties stipulate that the time between March 25, 2008 and April 23, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.					

	Case 5:08-cr-00183-JF	Document 9	Filed 03/31/2008	Page 2 of 3		
1	18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).					
2						
3	DATED: March 26, 2008 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO					
4		United States Attorney				
5	/s/					
6	BENJAMIN T. KENNEDY Assistant United States Attorney					
7						
8	CARLEEN ARLIDGE					
9	CARLEEN ARLIDGE Attorney for Defendant					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20 21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between March 25, 2008 and April 23, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE